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Abstract

A comparative first principles pseudopotential study of the adsorption and migration profiles of single Pt and Au atoms on the stoichiometric and reduced TiO$_2$ rutile (110) surfaces is presented. Pt and Au behave similarly with respect to (i) most favorable adsorption sites, which are found to be the hollow and substitutional sites on the stoichiometric and reduced surfaces, respectively, (ii) the large increase in their binding energy (by $\sim 1.7$ eV) when the surface is reduced, and (iii) their low migration barrier near 0.15 eV on the stoichiometric surface. Pt, on the other hand, binds more strongly (by $\sim 2$ eV) to both surfaces. On the stoichiometric surface, Pt migration pattern is expected to be one-dimensional, which is primarily influenced by interactions with O atoms. Au migration is expected to be two-dimensional, with Au-Ti interactions playing a more important role. On the reduced surface, the migration barrier for Pt diffusion is significantly larger compared to Au.
TiO$_2$ is a widespread catalyst and catalyst support. Because of its fundamental and technological importance, it has been the subject of many experimental and theoretical studies.\textsuperscript{1} Pt/TiO$_2$ and Au/TiO$_2$ are two of the most active catalysts for CO oxidation reactions. In extended surfaces, Au is not active, but it turns into a very active catalyst for CO oxidation at low temperatures when highly dispersed on TiO$_2$.\textsuperscript{2,3} Au/TiO$_2$ which is more sensitive to the preparation method than Pt/TiO$_2$, presents in some cases a higher activity for heterogeneous CO oxidation.\textsuperscript{4} Another fundamentally and technologically important phenomenon which involves the interaction occurring between small metallic particles and TiO$_2$ surfaces is that of the strong-metal-support-interaction (SMSI).\textsuperscript{5} The SMSI can induce drastic changes in the performance of the catalyst, such as suppression of CO and H$_2$ chemisorption, and has significant effects on structure sensitive reactions. Pt/TiO$_2$ has been the prototype system for SMSI, while Au/TiO$_2$ does not undergo significant encapsulation under equivalent annealing conditions.\textsuperscript{6} A fundamental comparative study of the interaction of these two precious metal catalysts with both stoichiometric and oxygen deficient TiO$_2$ surfaces will, therefore, contribute to our understanding of the origin of some of the differences in their catalytic activity as well as the SMSI phenomenon.

Most of the theoretical work on metal-TiO$_2$ surface interactions have focused on the Au/TiO$_2$ interface.\textsuperscript{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17} These studies have covered the structural and electronic structure properties and their relationships to the catalytic properties of the catalyst. On the other hand, in spite of being the prototype SMSI system, only three self-consistent-field calculations have been performed for a single Pt atom on TiO$_2$, which are also limited due to small system size and absence of full atomic relaxations.\textsuperscript{14,18,19} Furthermore, all studies on Au, Pt/TiO$_2$ have considered the adsorption of single or a few atom metal clusters on some specific sites of the TiO$_2$ surface. While these studies have provided useful information on the structural energetics of metal/support system, a microscopic understanding of the interaction occurring between the metal particles and the TiO$_2$ surface also requires calculations for their surface diffusion profiles on the stoichiometric and oxygen deficient surfaces. The knowledge of the surface diffusion profiles is important to understand the growth mode and evolution of the metal particles on these surfaces, as the catalytic activity of Au, for example, was shown to be particularly structure sensitive.\textsuperscript{3,4,17,20} The significant differences in the energy barriers between Pt and Au could also help achieve a microscopic understanding for the occurrence of SMSI in the case of Pt but not for Au. Motivated by
these observations, in this work we present the first comparative *ab initio* calculations for the adsorption and diffusion of single Pt and Au atoms on the stoichiometric and reduced TiO$_2$ (110) surfaces.

Our calculations for single Pt and Au atoms on the TiO$_2$(110) surface, were performed using the *ab initio* pseudopotential total energy method in a slab geometry.$^{21}$ We used ultrasoft pseudopotentials with a cutoff energy of 300 eV, $1 \times 2 \times 2$ Monkhorst-Pack k-point grids, and Perdew and Wang parametrization of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA).$^{22}$ We made an extensive study of the effects of spin polarization effects and found them to be negligible for the Pt/TiO$_2$ system. For the Au/TiO$_2$ system, including spin polarization reduced the magnitude of the binding energies at different selected sites by a maximum amount of $\sim$ 0.13 eV. However, the changes in the relative energies between different sites (which are relevant for the potential energy surfaces) were negligible (within 0.05 eV). Hence, the calculations for migration energy profiles were performed without spin polarization. After a systematic study and comparison with larger symmetric slabs, we found a 4-layer asymmetric slab, in which the two bottom layers are kept at bulk positions, to be the smallest good presentation of the surface with and without a metal atom on the surface. We used a vacuum region of 12 Å. To model the single metal atom on the surface, Pt,Au/TiO$_2$ calculations were performed with $2 \times 1$ (stoichiometric) and $3 \times 1$ (reduced) surface unit cells corresponding to 48 and 72 atom slabs, respectively. The lattice parameters calculated within GGA ($a = 4.641$ Å, $c = 2.982$ Å, and $u = 0.305$) are in good agreement with the experimental values ($a_{\text{exp}} = 4.594$ Å, $c_{\text{exp}} = 2.985$ Å, and $u_{\text{exp}} = 0.305$) as well as other theoretical calculations.$^{23}$ For the bare TiO$_2$ surface, we find a surface energy of 0.60 J/m$^2$ with a 7-layer slab, also in good agreement with other calculations.$^{24}$

We first investigate the binding of a metal atom to the stoichiometric TiO$_2$ rutile (110) surface. The various possible sites of adsorption are shown in Fig. 1(a). We find the most favorable position for Pt adsorption on the stoichiometric surface as the hollow site H1 [Fig. 1(b)]. We define the adsorption energy $E_{\text{ads}}(M)$ (the gain in energy when a metal atom $M$ binds to a specific site) in terms of the total energy of the metal $M$ on the TiO$_2$ surface $E(M/$TiO$_2$), the energy of the free surface $E($TiO$_2$), and the energy of a free metal atom $E_{M,\text{free}}$ as $E_{\text{ads}} = E($TiO$_2$) + $E_{M,\text{free}} - E(M/$TiO$_2$). The calculated adsorption energy of a Pt atom on the hollow site is $E_{\text{ads}}(\text{Pt}) = 2.51$ eV. The least favorable position for Pt adsorption, by $\sim 1$ eV compared to H1, is the Ti5c site. This finding is in sharp contrast with an
interpretation from an experimental study\textsuperscript{25} which suggests that Pt atoms at low coverages adsorb preferentially at the Ti5c site. However, only two positions, which were based on the key assumptions of hard-sphere atoms with van der Waals type interactions, were considered as possibilities in the experimental work. Furthermore, the ratio of the attenuated core level photoemission intensities of the Ti5c atom and in-plane surface O atom, which was used to assign the preferential adsorption on the Ti5c site, is \textit{not} inconsistent with our finding of the hollow site the most favorable site. This is because the Pt atom at H1 is slightly tilted with respect to its relaxed position directly over the Ti5c site [Fig. 1(b)]. The Pt-Ti5c distance of 2.50 \AA{} (at H1) is only 0.12 \AA{} larger than the relaxed Pt-Ti5c distance when it sits directly on top of Ti5c. What makes the hollow site the most energetically favorable position is predominantly the formation of a Pt-O bond at this site. An examination of Table I shows that Pt-O bonding plays an important role in the structural energetics of Pt on the surface, since the lower energy sites are those in which Pt and oxygen are nearest neighbors, and the formation of a Pt-Ti bond is a secondary effect. In fact, in the presence of Pt on the surface, the distance between the bridging oxygen O1 and the six-fold coordinated Ti (Ti6c) increases to 1.98 \AA{} from its bare surface value of 1.84 \AA{}, as Pt pulls the bridging oxygen significantly toward it at the expense of increasing the surface Ti6c-O1 distance.

The most favorable site for Au adsorption on the stoichiometric surface is also the hollow site. However, the calculated adsorption energy $E_{\text{ads}}(\text{Au}) = 0.58$ eV is lower by almost 2 eV compared to Pt. This result is in very good agreement with previous calculations\textsuperscript{12,16} which find adsorption energies near 0.6 eV. The lower adsorption energy also correlates with the considerably larger distances of Au to O1 and Ti5c atoms, which are calculated to be 2.38 \AA{} and 2.85 \AA{}, respectively. As such, the surface Ti6c-O1 distance, in the presence of Au, is 1.89 \AA{}, only slightly larger than the bare surface value. This means that, in comparison to Pt, Au atoms do not perturb the stoichiometric TiO\textsubscript{2} (110) surface significantly. The relative stability of various sites for Au adsorption is also different compared to Pt. In particular, Ti5c site (the least favorable site for Pt adsorption) is only 0.1 eV higher in energy compared to the hollow site, and Au-O bonding plays only a minor role compared to Au-Ti bonding.

In order to achieve a better understanding of the similarities and the differences between Pt and Au adsorption and to gain insight into the energetics of their diffusion, we calculated the full migration energy profiles of the two metals. On the stoichiometric surface, a rectangular region of dimensions $c/2$ (along [001]) and $a/\sqrt{2}$ (along [1\textbf{T}0]) was divided into
a $4 \times 5$ uniform grid. Due to the reflection symmetries, the energy profile will be symmetric with respect to the chosen rectangular region. The metal atom was placed at each grid point and allowed to relax only in the direction perpendicular to the surface. All the Ti and O atoms in the top two layers were allowed to relax as well. The results interpolated to a finer $(30 \times 30)$ grid are displayed in Figures 2(a) and 2(b) for Pt and Au, respectively. For Pt, the energy surface is quite corrugated with a large variation of $\sim 1$ eV across the entire grid. The minimum and maximum occur at the hollow site and the Ti5c positions, in agreement with the results displayed in Table I. For a Pt atom at the hollow site, barrier to migration along the [1$\bar{1}$0] direction is 0.95 eV. Such a large barrier is expected to make Pt migration in the [1$\bar{1}$0] direction unlikely, except at high temperatures. In the perpendicular [001] direction, on the other hand, Pt diffusion from one hollow site to the next has a very small barrier as the Pt atom diffuses over the basal plane oxygen. The barrier for this migration is 0.13 eV. The importance of a Pt-O bond is evident, as the Pt atom can diffuse between hollow sites along the [001] direction easily by bonding to bridging oxygen (at the hollow site) and to the basal oxygen at favorable Pt-O distances near 2.01 Å as observed in PtO and Pt$_3$O$_4$ compounds. This theoretical finding of an easy channel for Pt diffusion is in good agreement with an STM study of Pt/TiO$_2$, which suggests that [001] is the preferred orientation for Pt diffusion, based on the elongated shapes of the Pt clusters on TiO$_2$ (110).

In contrast to Pt, the potential energy profile for Au migration [Fig. 2(b)] is quite flat, with energy barriers along any direction not exceeding 0.35 eV. The minimum and maximum occur at the hollow site and between the bridging oxygens (above Ti6c), respectively. The barriers for Au migration along [1$\bar{1}$0] and [001] are 0.17 eV and 0.2 eV, respectively. Such low barriers along both directions indicate that Au can diffuse rather easily on the stoichiometric surface, and could also explain the wide variation in the minimum energy positions of Au on TiO$_2$(110) reported in the literature. The theoretical values obtained here are in good agreement with the experimental observation of easy Au diffusion on the oxide surface even at 140 K, as well as the estimates for the Au adsorption energy of 0.5 eV and its small migration barrier of 0.07 eV. The flat surface profile is also consistent with the rapid increase in Au cluster size observed at very low Au coverages and the change in the Au particle size distribution to bimodal, upon oxidizing the reduced surface (Ostwald ripening), which is favored by fast surface diffusion of atomic and small Au clusters.

The migration of a single Au atom on the TiO$_2$(110) surface is expected to be two-
dimensional. Pt migration, on the other hand, is strongly one-dimensional, along the [001]
easy channels, due to the large barrier near 1 eV along the [110] direction. In spite of the
significant differences between the migration profiles of the two metals, they both have a
small minimum energy barrier near 0.15 eV for their migration on the stoichiometric surface.
For Pt migration, the easy channel involves a somewhat continuous bonding of the Pt atom
to the surface (bridging and basal) oxygens. This suggests that the structural energetics of
Pt on the TiO$_2$(110) surface is primarily influenced by the interaction of the $p$ bands of the
oxygen and the $d$ orbitals of Pt. Since Au has a complete $d$–shell, the $p – d$ hybridization
is not expected to play a significant role. The first principles calculations presented here
indeed support this picture: The Au-Ti distance at the hollow site is 2.85 Å, the same as the
nearest neighbor distance in the compound AuTi. At the Ti$_{5c}$ position, the Au-Ti distance
is 2.78 Å, only slightly shorter than this value. However, as Au gets farther away from Ti,
and closer to surface oxygen, the potential energy profile indicates higher (albeit still less
than 0.35 eV) barriers. These observations suggest that the structural energetics of a gold
atom is primarily influenced by the interaction of the Au s orbitals with the Ti d band.

We now move to the reduced surface, where one of the bridging oxygens is missing. For
both Pt and Au, the most favorable adsorption site is the substitutional one (the site of the
oxygen vacancy). The adsorption energies $E_{ads}$ at this site are significantly larger compared
to the stoichiometric surface, 4.28 eV (Pt) and 2.18 eV (Au). The distances of the metal
atom to the nearest neighbor Ti$_{6c}$ site are 2.39 Å, and 2.67 Å for Pt and Au, respectively.
As in the stoichiometric surface, Pt sits closer to the surface and distorts the underlying
lattice more significantly. We calculated the full migration barrier profile of the two metal
atoms on the reduced surface. For this case, we chose a rectangular region of dimensions
c (along [001]) and $a/\sqrt{2}$ (along [110]) with the substitutional site at one of the corners.
The results are shown in Figures 3(a) for Pt and 3(b) for Au. Clearly, both metals show
a strong preference for adsorption on the substitutional site with similar potential energy
profiles both along the [001] and [110] directions. The migration barrier for both metals
along the [001] direction (toward the bridging oxygen) is rather high, 1.85 eV for Au and
2.3 eV for Pt. This is in contrast to the stoichiometric surface where the [001] direction has
a small energy barrier along the neighboring hollow sites. The smallest migration barrier
on the reduced surface occurs along the [110] direction, from the substitutional site toward
the Ti$_{5c}$ position. In fact, this is where the main difference between Au and Pt is observed.
Although the migration barrier for Pt along this direction is still high (1.1 eV), the barrier for Au is only 0.6 eV. This implies that while oxygen vacancies act as anchoring sites for metal adsorption on the reduced surface, Au is much more likely to escape from the relatively shallower potential well created by the vacancy compared to Pt, which gets trapped at this site. In addition, Figure 3(b) shows that in the case of Au, there exists an extra minimum in the potential energy profile near the diagonal Ti5c site. The energy of this site is only 0.3 eV higher than that of the substitutional site. The existence of this extra minimum at about the same location as the hollow site of the stoichiometric surface shows that the effect of the vacancy for the Au/TiO$_2$ system is more localized than that for the Pt/TiO$_2$ system.

In conclusion, we have shown that on the stoichiometric surface, Pt displays a one-dimensional migration pattern on a highly corrugated potential energy profile, and Au migration is two-dimensional with a relatively flat profile. The structural energetics of Pt and Au are primarily influenced by their interactions with O and Ti, respectively. The distortions of the TiO$_2$ support due to a single atom adsorbate are much more pronounced for Pt than Au. On the reduced surface, while both Pt and Au are anchored at the substitutional site, Pt binding to oxygen vacancies is considerably stronger compared to Au. From these two observations, we can already infer even at a single atom level the predisposition of Pt/TiO$_2$ rather than Au/TiO$_2$ to undergo encapsulation, which is evidence of SMSI. These findings could also provide a hint for the higher sensitivity of Au catalytic activity to the preparation methods, as Au atom binding to the oxide surface is not only weak but also rather site-insensitive (flat profile), making its activity more vulnerable to external effects.
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FIG. 1: (a) An oblique view of an extended TiO$_2$ (110) surface showing the possible sites for metal adsorption above them (listed in Table I). The white and black circles represent Ti and O atoms, respectively. (b) Top 2-layer view of TiO$_2$ (110) surface with a Pt atom (gray circle) adsorbed at the hollow site.

FIG. 2: The potential energy profiles for (a) Pt (b) Au on the stoichiometric TiO$_2$ (110) surface. The profiles were doubled along the [001] direction (compared to the chosen rectangular grid mentioned in the text) to have the same size as the profiles in the next figure.

FIG. 3: The potential energy profiles for (a) Pt (b) Au on the reduced TiO$_2$ (110) surface
TABLE I: The relative energies (in eV) for Pt and Au adsorption above the given specific sites with respect to the most stable (H1) site for the stoichiometric TiO$_2$ (110) surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorption Site</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (Pt)</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (Au)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hollow site (H1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal oxygen</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow site (H2)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between bridging O (Ti6c)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridging oxygen (O1)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-fold Ti (Ti5c)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1, Iddir et al.
This figure "fig2n.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0412450v1
This figure "fig3n.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0412450v1